



Optimizing Inductor Winding Geometry for Lowest DC-Resistance using LiveLink between COMSOL and MATLAB

H. Schneider*, T. Andersen, J. D. Mønster, M. P. Madsen, A. Knott and M. A. E. Andersen Department of Electrical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark - DTU Elektro, Ørsteds Plads, building 349, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark



Problem: PCB layer thickness is a bottleneck for high power applications.





Conventional Wire Wound Inductor

- Limited utilization of the winding space.
- Manual manufacturing process for small

core and thick wires.

Hybrid Foil/PCB Wound Inductor

- Fully automated process. From cutout of the foils to pick and placement of the winding assembly.
- Good utilization of the winding space.
- Low price due to mass production of the winding assembly
- Short time to marked due the possibility of a distributed stock of the winding assembly.
 - Configurable winding configuration through PCB traces.
- Different core materials can be used with the same winding assembly.

Input



Solution: Optimize the winding configuration by changing the angle of each segment of the winding.

Optimization of the winding configuration

Thickness of a

DOSITION

segment



N=2

F3

N=1

F1

F2

F4

2D continuity and import to COMSOL via LifeLink[™]

10 15

Output

Import result from COMSOL into Matlab and plot results



Results

Segment

angle

N=3



20 25

30



Few turns, PCB layer thickness << Foil thickness

Clearance

Number of turns: 10, Segment thickness: F1, F2, F3 = 500 μm, F4 = 70um, Clearance = 1mm

| Winding angle configuration | Bottom | Opt. | Тор |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------|
| Starting point SP [%] | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Outer Foil Segment F1 [%] | 0 | 63 | 0 |
| Top Foil Segment F2 [%] | 0 | 37 | 100 |
| Inner Segment F3 [%] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bottom Segment F4 [%] | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| DC resistance [mΩ] | 6.46 | 4.75 | 4.52 |
| Improvement [%] | Ref. | 27 | 30 |

| Many turns, PCB layer thickness << Foil thickness Number of turns: 100, Segment thickness: F1, F2, F3 = 500 µm, F4 = 70um, Clearance = 1mm | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Starting point SP [%] | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Outer Foil Segment F1 [%] | 0 | 75 | 0 | | | |
| Top Foil Segment F2 [%] | 0 | 25 | 100 | | | |
| Inner Segment F3 [%] | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Bottom Segment F4 [%] | 100 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| DC resistance $[m\Omega]$ | 435 | 432 | 432 | | | |
| Improvement [%] | Ref. | 0.8 | 0.8 | | | |

Few turns, PCB layer thickness = Foil thickness

Number of turns: 10, Segment thickness: F1, F2, F3 = 500 µm, F4 = 70um, Clearance = 1mm

| Winding angle configuration | Bottom | Opt. | Тор |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|-----|
| Starting point SP [%] | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Outer Foil Segment F1 [%] | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| Top Foil Segment F2 [%] | 0 | 21 | 100 |
| Inner Segment F3 [%] | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Bottom Segment F4 [%] | 100 | 40.5 | 0 |
| DC resistance $[m\Omega]$ | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Improvement [%] | Ref. | 11 | 0 |

Technical University of Denmark, DTU Elektro, Ørsteds Plads, bygning 349, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark hensc@elektro.dtu.dk

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2013 COMSOL Conference in Boston

