FRACTURE-MATRIX FLOW PARTITIONING & CROSS FLOW: NUMERICAL MODELING OF LABORATORY FRACTURED CORE FLOOD



R.Sanaee G.F.Oluyemi M.Hossain M.B.Oyeneyin

COMSOL CONFERENCE EUROPE 2012

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2012 COMSOL Conference in Milan

PRESENTATION LAYOUT

- Introduction
- Problem description
- Darcy Law interface
- Free & porous media interface
- Cross flow investigations
- Concluding remarks



INTRODUCTION

- Fracture : different permeabilities
- Fracture-Matrix Flow partitioning
- In-situ Stress regime
- Reservoir characterization
- Wellbore stability
- Completion design
- EOR





4/20

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

• Brine flooding of a longitudinally fractured core (Stalker et. al., 2009)

Table 1: Core flooding experimental data

Core diameter	3.79 cm
Core length	7.54 cm
Matrix porosity	0.154
Matrix permeability	315 mD
Brine viscosity	1 cp
Brine Density	850 kgm ⁻³



PROBLEM DESCRIPTION



DARCY LAW INTERFACE

 Darcy + Continuity in Matrix (Comsol dl interface theory)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho\varphi) + \nabla . \rho \left[-\frac{k}{\mu} (\nabla P + \rho g \nabla D) \right] = Q_m$$

• Tangential form of Darcy Law + Continuity in Fracture (Comsol dl interface theory)

$$q_f = -\frac{k_f}{\mu} d_f (\nabla_T P + \rho g \nabla_T D) \qquad d_f \frac{\partial}{\partial_t} (\varphi_f \rho) + \nabla_T (\rho q_f) = d_f Q_m$$

- K_f : Schechter correlation based on d_f (Stalker et. al., 2009)
- Φ_f :slide fracture model concept (Van Golf-Racht, 1982)





7/20

DARCY LAW INTERFACE

Memory-efficient, straightforward mesh, No detectable change in flow path





DARCY LAW INTERFACE





9/20

DARCY LAW INTERFACE

- 1. Fracture flow partitions are less than matrix for all overburden stresses!
- 2. Fracture-matrix cross flow and its change due to stress cannot be investigated





- Brinkman equation for steady state flow for the matrix fracture transition ZONE (Martys and Hagedom, 2002):
 - $\nabla P = -\frac{\mu}{k}u + \mu_e \nabla^2(u)$
- Laminar form of Navier-Stokes flow in the fracture (Comsol fp interface theory): $\nabla P = -\rho \frac{Du}{Dt} + \rho g + \mu \nabla^2 u$





- 1. Fracture as a volume in geometry
- 2. Complex mesh and excessive runtime







COMSOL



10/11/2012

DL VS. FP













10/11/2012

- 1. Flow rates are higher
- 2. Fracture flow partitioning magnitudes are higher
- 3. Identical trends to dl interface
- 4. Change in flow partitioning for overburden stress>13Mpa
- 5. There is a shift in the dominant flow path (unlike dl interface)

LABORATORY INVESTIGATION NEEDED



CROSS FLOW Cross flow: Vertical flow from matrix to the fracture





CROSS FLOW

- 1. More pronounced shift in the cross flow
- 2. Cross flow increases exponentially with pressure drop for low overburden stresses





CONCLUDING REMARKS

RENCE

- Fracture flow partitioning results in fp interface are higher and closer to cumulative flux experimental data
- Matrix would be the dominant flow path under increased stress (dl vs. fp)
- A fracture closure threshold can be detected especially in terms of cross flow
- Cross flow decreasing due to increased overburden stress varies significantly beyond the closure threshold





Thank you!