

# **Improvements in the Modeling of the Self-ignition of Tetrafluoroethylene**

<u>M. Beckmann-Kluge<sup>1</sup></u>, F. Ferrero<sup>1</sup>, V. Schröder<sup>1</sup>, A. Acikalin<sup>2</sup> and J. Steinbach<sup>2</sup>, **BAM Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing**,

<sup>2</sup>Technical University Berlin



- I. Introduction to topic and motivation
- II. Numerical Model with REL and Comsol Multiphysics
- III. Results of different numerical approches
- IV. Conclusion and outlook

#### **Introduction to TFE**



- Tetrafluoroethylene (TFE, C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub>) is monomer of Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and other copolymers (100.000 t/year)
- PTFE is resistant to most reactive and corrosive chemicals and has non-sticky properties



#### **Motivation**



- Several incidents in PTFE-production-plants in the last decades
- TFE is a decomposable gas  $\rightarrow$  possibility of explosive decomposition
- Sources for ignition:
  - Spark ignition, electrostatic
  - Hot surfaces  $\rightarrow$  content of this work
- Research project subsidized by *PlasticsEurope* to determine hazardous conditions, started 2007
- Exothermic Dimerization reaction of TFE to Octacyclofluorobutane can cause ignition

$$2C_2F_4 \leftrightarrow c - C_4F_8$$

$$H_R = -103 \left( \frac{kJ}{mol_{TFE}} \right)$$





• FEM simulation without fluid dynamic

• Easy integration of complex reaction kinetics

• Thermodynamic properties are calculated via the NASA polynomial coefficients

• Energy and mass balance are solved

#### Validation data base by experiments





### **1**<sup>st</sup> Model: Dimerization – Reaction



forward reaction

2. order reaction

$$2C_2F_4 \xrightarrow{f} c - C_4F_8$$

 $r_f = (c_{C_2F_4})^2 \cdot k_f$   $\searrow$  New 2-stage kinetics was determined

backward reaction

$$c - C_4 F_8 \xrightarrow{b} 2C_2 F_4$$

1. order reaction

$$k_f = 2,1 \cdot 10^{16} \left[ \frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}} \right] \cdot \exp^{\left( \frac{-310961 \text{[J/mol]}}{RT} \right)}$$

 $k_f = 82800 \left[ \frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}} \right] \cdot \exp^{\left( \frac{-105200 \text{[J/mol]}}{RT} \right)}$ 

$$r_b = c_{c-C_4F_8} \cdot k_b$$

#### Enhanced reaction net in REL and COMSOL CFD Model



		A0 in		$\Delta {H}_{r}^{0}$	$\Delta {H}_{r}^{0}$
Reaction	RO	[m³ mol-1 s-1] bzw. [s-1]	Ea in [J/mol]	acc. NIST [kJ/mol]	acc. REL (NASA Polynoms)
C2F4+C2F4 → C4F8	2	8.28E+04	105000	-166 (-116171)	-161,5
C4F8(c) → 2*C2F4	1	2.10E+16	310871	166 (116171)	161,5
$C4F8(c) \rightarrow C3F6(e)+CF2$	1	1.58E+17	332580	154,3	164
$C3F6(e) \rightarrow C2F4 + CF2$	1	1.58E+13	346008	308,7	288
$C3F6(c) \rightarrow C2F4 + CF2$	1	1.78E+13	161501	308,7	288
C3F6(e) → C3F6 (c)	1	1.00E+13	139767	-	-
C2F4 → 2*CF2	1	5.01E+16	301285	297	290,6
$\begin{array}{c} C4F8(c) + CF2 \rightarrow C3F6(e) + \\ C2F4 \end{array}$	2	1.00E+08	133032	-142,7	-126,5
C4F8(i) → 2* C2F4	1	1.00E+16	374070	309	
C4F8(i) → C3F6(e)+ CF2	1	1.20E+16	384962	297,3	



**Reaction Engineering Lab Model** 



#### **Prediction of the MITD with the REL method**



experimental MITD = 310 °C, 5 bar, 0.2-dm<sup>3</sup>







#### COMSOL Conference, Paris, 17.11.2010 – 19.11.2010

# **Conclusions from REL method**

#### <u>Pro</u>

- Easy to calculate
- Volume of vessel is considered
- Height of vessel can be considered (via alpha)
- Complex reaction net possible
- All predicted MITD on the safe side

## <u>Contra</u>

- No fluid dynamic considered (buoyancy)
- Geometry specification can only be considered in calculation of alpha
- Prediction of MITD might be to conservative





#### **FEM Model: Enhanced reaction net** in COMSOL Multiphysics 0,1 ← C2F4+C2F4 --> C4F8 0,09 -C4F8(c) -->2\*C2F4 0,08 ▲ C4F8(c) -->C3F6(e)+CF2 0,07 k in s<sup>-1</sup> bzw. m³mol<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> \* 0,06 0,05 0,04 \* 0,03 \* 0,02 -C4F8(i) -->2\*C2F4 0,01 0 300 500 700 900 1100 1300 T in K

additional reactions are important in the relevant temperature range (green)

#### Enhanced reaction net in COMSOL CFD Model



- 4 additional reactions and two additional species were integrated
- New reaction net shows very good numerical results
- Additional reactions prevent a too early runaway at lower temperatures
- At higher temperatures the primary dimerization reaction generates a runaway ignition of decomposition reaciton



#### Enhanced reaction net in COMSOL CFD Model



- New model shows for the volumes of 0.2-dm<sup>3</sup> and 3-dm<sup>3</sup> a maximal deviation of 10 K in the MITD
- For both volumes a pressure peak in the simulation could be observed and was taken as the ignition criterion



#### Enhanced reaction net in COMSOL CFD Model



Comparison of CFD Simulation and experimental values, 0.2 dm<sup>3</sup>



#### Enhanced reaction net in COMSOL CFD Model - results



Comparison of CFD Simulation and experimental values, 3 dm<sup>3</sup>



# **Conclusions from CFD method**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### <u>Pro</u>

- Most accurate prediction of MITD
- Complex geometries can be considered
- Complex fluid flow possible
- Complex reaction kinetics possible
- Partially heating possible

# <u>Contra</u>

- Intense knowledge of software is necessary
- Long computational times
- Not applicable on standard PC

# Outlook

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Validation in larger volumes

- Tests in 100-dm<sup>3</sup>-vessel
- Vessel is fixed in rotational rack
- Tests for MITD in horizontal and vertical orientation will be carried out
- Prediction with COMSOL REL and Multiphysics was done
- MITD dependence on variation in geometry for simil volumes could be found when using COMSOL Multiphysics

### **100-dm<sup>3</sup> vessel: construction**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **100-dm<sup>3</sup> vessel:** Simulation of MITD, 5 bar TFE

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Ignition criterion based on exponential pressure increase

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **100-dm<sup>3</sup> vessel simulation:** MITD dependence on geometry

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Simplified geometry**

real geometry

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **100-dm<sup>3</sup> vessel simulation: MITD dependence on geometry**

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Comparision of p-t curves for different geometries (similar volume)**

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### MITD dependence on pressure for 100-dm<sup>3</sup>-vessel

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)